



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/773,110	02/04/2004	Steven T. Jersey	85455-9103-01 3955			
23409 7	590 11/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE			
100 E WISCO MILWAUKEE	NSIN AVENUE E. WI. 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WILD WITCHES	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3634			
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/200	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/773,11	0	JERSEY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jennifer E		3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•	•			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2004 and 02 October 2006.								
• -								
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ (	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠்(	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45 and 47</u> is/are rejected.							
• —	Claim(s) 46 and 48-51 is/are objected to.	•						
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election re	equirement.	,				
Application	on Papers							
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
			,					
Attachment(s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/29/2004</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This non-final Office action is in response to the application filed February 4, 2004 and the election and amendment filed October 2, 2006 by which claims 52-61 were canceled.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed June 29, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered (see page 5 of the attached copy).

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group I, i.e., claims 45-51 and species (b), i.e., Figures 3 and 4, in the reply filed on October 2, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). It is noted that all pending claims, i.e., claims 45-51, read on the elected invention and species.

## Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied (see line 1).

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 45 is rendered indefinite since applicant improperly seeks to link functionally recited structure, i.e., the pump and rack, to the positively recited structure, i.e., the bracket, through the use of the following positive recitations: (a) "slides" in line 4, (b) "to receive" in line 8, (c) "received" in line 9, and (d) "receiving" in line 10. *Therefore*, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be properly ascertained. *To correct this*, applicant can (a) positively claim the pump and rack, or (b) change the above mentioned recitation to functional recitations, e.g., "slides" could be changed to --is adapted to slide--, etc. This rejection is also applicable to the recitation "snaps" in line 1 of claim 47.

The language of the recitation "at least one a lever, at least one finger, and at least one boss" in line 6 of claim 45 renders the claim indefinite. *In particular*, from this recitation it appears that only one of these three elements is required. *However*, in lines 8-10, applicant seeks to further define <u>each</u> of these elements. It appears that perhaps "at least one of a lever" in line 6 should be changed to --at least one lever--. It is noted that the claims have been examined as though all three elements are being required.

Art Unit: 3634

## Allowable Subject Matter

Insomuch as the claims are best understood (in view of the Section 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections, advanced above), claim 45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action; claims 46 and 48-51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim; and claim 47 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer E. Novosac Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

November 2, 2006